

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,882	01/09/2006	Kazuo Murata	032213M040	7284
7590 04/20/2007 Smith Gambrell & Russell		EXAMINER NGO, HUNG V		
Suite 800				
1850 M Street Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
w asimigion, D	C 20030		2831	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		04/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/563,882	MURATA, KAZUO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Hung V. Ngo	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l	<u> </u>				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 De	ecember 2006					
	action is non-final.					
·— · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,					
<u> </u>	. •					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e election requirement					
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/563,882

Art Unit: 2831

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 6/4, 6/5, 7/3, 7/4, 7/5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takashi (JP2001-292048) in view of Joji (JP 55-153416).

Takashi discloses a rectangular piezoelectric element plate (11) having a flat surface and the other surface, a package (12), a bump (41), electrode (31),

Re claim 3, joining the plate to the package via the bump while pressing (F) the plate against the package (Fig 8)

Re claims 4, 5, 6, 6/4, 6/5 applying ultrasonic waves (Fig 8) and adsorbing nozzle(55) having recess (51), extraction electrodes (31)

The teaching as discussed above does not disclose the other surface being non-flat having reduced thickness or beveling circular arc on the non-flat surface (re claims 1, 2, 7)

Joji discloses a piezoelectric plate having a flat surface and the other surface being non-flat bevel circular arc surface opposing the flat surface (Fig 1b, 1c) for forming a small size and high performance device (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the plate of Takashi by employing the bevel surface on one of the surfaces of Takashi for the purpose of having a small size and high performance device.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,882

Art Unit: 2831

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12-28-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (1) that neither the first surface nor the second surface of the Joji oscillator is individually constructed such that the thickness of an individual non-flat surface is gradually reduced toward both longitudinal end faces, (2) that Joji does not teach any specific relationship between the oscillator therein and a package and a bump or bumps.

With respect to (1) see Fig 1b, 1c of Joji.

With respect to (2) one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 EXT 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVN 04-15-07 Hnng V Nat